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Population status of chuck-will’s-widow (*Caprimulgus carolinensis*) in the Bahamas

William K. Hayes,1,4 Elwood D. Bracey,2 Melissa R. Price,1 Valerie Robinette,1 Eric Gren,1 and Caroline Stahala3

**ABSTRACT.**—The Chuck-will’s-widow (*Caprimulgus carolinensis*) in the Bahama Islands has been regarded as a rare to uncommon winter visitor. We conducted breeding season surveys on the three largest northern islands (North Andros, Grand Bahama, and Great Abaco) to examine the status of this species. We encountered singing birds on most survey routes on all three islands, suggesting that sizeable breeding populations are widespread in the northern Bahamas with an aggregate estimate of 500–1,000 pairs. Our density estimates were somewhat less than those from the primary range in the United States, suggesting either a lower carrying capacity in the Bahama Islands or recently established populations that have yet to reach carrying capacity.
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Much remains to be learned about Chuck-will’s-widow (*Caprimulgus carolinensis*) and other nightjars (Caprimulgiformes), largely because of their nocturnal and secretive habits. The species breeds across much of the eastern United States and winters in southern Florida, Central America, the West Indies, and northern South America. Gaps remain in our knowledge of the species’ distribution, abundance, and habitat use despite its distinctive nocturnal song, which greatly facilitates detection (Straight and Cooper 2000). The species has gradually expanded its range in North America in the past century (Straight and Cooper 2000).

The status of Chuck-will’s-widow in the Bahama Islands remains unclear. The species is considered a rare to uncommon winter visitor (Brudenell-Bruce 1975, Emlen 1977, Buden 1987, White 1998, Straight and Cooper 2000). Northrop (1891) collected a male with enlarged testes during breeding season (15 May) on Andros, but was uncertain of nesting. Paterson (1972) observed a bird incubating a single egg on Andros, but Bond (1973:3, 1984:20) rejected the record as a misidentified Antillean Nighthawk (*Chordeiles gundlachii*). However, Buden (1992) and Buden and Sprunt (1993) heard calling birds and flushed a pair during visits to several Exumas cays in April through June, suggesting possible breeding. Calling birds subsequently heard from February to July on several islands, documented in North American Birds seasonal reports, led to increased speculation of nesting. Breeding was eventually confirmed for Grand Bahama (Norton 1999; Norton and White 2001, 2002) and Abaco (Norton 2000). However, several questions remain unanswered. Were these breeding records extralimital, or indicative of larger breeding populations? If the latter, how large might these populations be, and how widespread are they?

The objective of our study was to clarify the population status of Chuck-will’s-widow in the Bahama Islands. Our results, when compared to similar surveys from the species’ continental range in the United States, provide inferences about the bird’s abundance and breeding status.

**METHODS**

We conducted breeding season surveys on the three largest northern islands: North Andros, Grand Bahama, and Great Abaco. We followed generally practiced protocols for surveys of nightjars (e.g., Cooper 1981, Wilson 2008). Surveys were conducted along 8–20 km routes that included 9–12 brief stops (usually 10) of 2–5 min duration at 1 km or greater intervals. Surveys, with a few exceptions, were completed during >50% moon illumination. Surveys were conducted during either the hour before sunrise or within 1–2 hrs following sunset, depending on when the moon was above the horizon. Weather varied from clear to overcast but with relatively low wind and absence of rain. We recorded the
GPS (Global Positioning System) coordinates, time, and number of calling birds for each stop. We broadcast a recording of the song by speaker or whistled a cruder imitation of the song by mouth for most stops. We did not differentiate between spontaneous and solicited calls, as both were frequently detected. Calling birds could at times be heard at >500 m; we tried not to double-count individuals on consecutive stops, but this may have resulted in slight underestimation bias.

We (MRP, VR, and EG) conducted seven surveys on North Andros during 2009. These included: 10 and 12 May (mornings) south of Nichol’s Town; 17 May (morning) between Mastic Point and San Andros Airport; 2 June (evening) west of Stafford Creek; and 3, 4, and 5 June (evenings) between Stafford Creek and Love Hill. These routes traversed primarily Caribbean pine (*Pinus caribaea*) forest habitat with scattered openings, but coppice, marsh, and residential areas were present at some stops.

WKH conducted three surveys during 2007 on Grand Bahama. These included: 1 July (morning) from Bassett Cove to the oil storage facility east of Riding Point; 1 July (evening, 12 stops, no moonlight) near the water towers at Lucayan Estates; and 2 July (morning, 9 stops) in Lucaya along West Beach and Midshipman roads. All routes were in primarily pine forest with scattered openings; however, the first included occasional coppice and residential areas, and the third transected a rural residential area.

EB conducted repeated surveys along two routes (9 stops each) between Treasure Cay and Leisure Lee on Great Abaco during 2009. These included four evening surveys on 5 May, 10 May (no moonlight), 1 June, and 5 June. Additional evening surveys repeated in July and August yielded no calling birds and were excluded from analysis. Routes included pine forest with some coppice. CS conducted two surveys in 2009 further south on Great Abaco. These were 14 July (morning) along Great Abaco Highway between Crossing Rocks and Abaco National Park, and 15 July (morning) along Mid Road towards Hole-in-the-Wall. The routes passed through pine forest with variable understory, and several stops included coppice.

We derived the following variables for each route: total number of calling birds; mean birds per stop along route; proportion of stops with bird detections; number of birds calling per occupied stop (with birds calling); and density (pairs/km²). Numbers were adjusted for routes of 9 or 12 rather than 10 stops to reflect the standard 10-stop route (i.e., birds/stop multiplied by 10). We assumed that all calling individuals were detected within a 500-m radius to estimate population density (calling males = pairs/km²). Thus, the number of calling birds detected at each stop corresponded to a minimum density of pairs per 0.785 km² (area of circle with 500 m radius). This assumption is subject to several factors influencing detection rate, including some birds being heard at greater distances and many individuals not calling during the brief stops.

**RESULTS**

We encountered 42 calling birds along the 14 survey routes (Table 1). The number of calling birds per 10-stop route varied from zero to 12.2. Calling birds were detected on four of the seven (57%) Andros routes and on 100% of the three Grand Bahama and four Abaco routes. Grand Bahama appeared to host the highest density of individuals. One 9-stop route through a rural residential area yielded 11 calling birds. The maximum number of calling birds recorded per stop was two, and this was consistent for all three islands. Three birds were heard at several stops on Grand Bahama but, in each case, one was judged to be a bird heard at the previous stop and was not counted.

Repeated surveys along two routes on Great Abaco illustrated nightly and seasonal variation. Calling birds after sunset numbered four (5 May), five (10 May), six (1 Jun), and seven (5 Jun) for the 18 stops of both routes combined. No birds were detected on three additional surveys (22 and 30 Jul, 5 Aug), and these surveys were excluded due to lateness of season. Our mean estimate of calling birds for the first four surveys (5.5) was 21% below the maximum count (7), suggesting detection of 79% or less for individual surveys.

**DISCUSSION**

Standardized surveys provide the best understanding of the relative abundance of Chuck-will’s-widow, and only males are known to give the primary song (Straight and Cooper 2000). A number of factors can affect calling rates and influence detection rates and density estimates. Male calling peaks in late spring in continental populations and may decrease markedly in July (e.g., Cooper 1981, Straight and Cooper 2000). Both calling rate and duration of calling through-

Our surveys suggest a substantial breeding population of Chuck-will's-widows exists on the large northern islands in the Bahamas. Abundance varied locally, but calling males were widespread on each of the large islands surveyed (North Andros, Grand Bahama, and Great Abaco). Birds calling in summer on New Providence (e.g., Norton and White 2002) and the Exumas (Buden 1992, Buden and Sprunt 1993) suggest breeding populations on these islands as well.

Relative densities from our surveys ($x \pm SD$) were generally lower than surveys within the primary range of continental populations. Cooper (1981), from 20 surveys in northern Georgia, reported $0-1.75$ calling individuals per stop ($x = 1.37$ for 6 nights with $\geq 0.5$ lunar illumination). James and Neal (1986) listed counts of $1.77$ and $2.32/stop$ in Searcy and Clay counties, Arkansas, respectively. Baumgartner and Baumgartner (1992) reported counts of $1.67$ and $1.98/stop$ in northeast and southeast Oklahoma, respectively. More recently, Wilson (2008) reported on coordinated surveys from seven states having two or more surveys conducted in 2007. These included: Florida with $1.54$ birds/stop, Alabama with $1.50$, South Carolina with $1.30$, Georgia with $0.98$, Mississippi with $0.90$, Virginia with $0.44$, and North Carolina with $0.42$. Our surveys differed somewhat from those of Wilson (2008) in that stops were spaced more closely and listening time was briefer. We also used tape playback or vocal imitation to help stimulate calling.

We do not know whether the current breeding population in the Bahama Islands represents a recent colonization or has been overlooked. The relatively low densities compared to continental populations suggest either a lower carrying capacity in the Bahama Islands or recently established populations that have yet to reach carrying capacity. Population densities at the expanding northern periphery of the continental range are generally low (Hunt 2008). Future surveys could improve our knowledge on these possibilities. Two birds collected from Cuba during the breeding season with enlarged gonads suggest possible breeding there as well (Straight and Cooper 2000).

Our density estimates provide for a rough approximation of the total population in the

### TABLE 1. Chuck-will's-widows on North Andros (7 survey routes), Grand Bahama (3 routes), and Great Abaco (4 routes), Bahama Islands. Values include $x \pm SD$ and range within parentheses for birds per 10-stop route and associated variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Island</th>
<th>Total stops</th>
<th>Total birds</th>
<th>Birds per route</th>
<th>Birds per stop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Andros</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.4 (0–3)</td>
<td>0.14 (0–0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Bahama</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.6 (2–12)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.2–1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Abaco 2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.0 (1–5)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.1–0.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Two routes were replicated four times; maximum counts were used to derive total birds and ranges, but average values from replicates were used in computing $x \pm SD$ values.
The estimates are limited by three major factors (see also Wilson 2008): (1) some birds were detected at distances >500 m, resulting in overestimation; (2) not all males present were calling, resulting in underestimation; and (3) survey routes may not have been representative of the habitats available on each island. We suspect our numbers are underestimates. We multiplied our estimates by the quantity of suitable habitat on each island based on detailed maps. As many as 214 pairs exist on Andros (0.18 pairs/km²; 5,957 km² total area; 20% of total area assumed to be suitable habitat), 390 pairs on Grand Bahama (0.71 pairs/km²; 1,373 km² total area; 40% suitable habitat), and 192 pairs on Abaco (0.38 pairs/km²; 1,681 km² total area; 30% suitable habitat). New Providence (207 km²) presumably hosts a breeding population, and smaller populations may exist on other islands. We conclude the Bahama Islands supports an aggregate population in the vicinity of 500–1,000 pairs.

Clearly, the recent scattered reports of singing and nesting birds reflect sizeable breeding populations rather than extralimital records. Efforts should be undertaken to locate additional populations on other islands and to examine whether populations are gradually increasing in size.
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