# Literacy and History of Early Israel:

Investigating Historical, Archeological, and Biblical Evidence

## **Emily Parker**

David, Solomon, and Archeology (RELB 481 A)

Professor Michael Hasel

March 23, 2021

"...do not!". These two words are among the few identifiable bits of script etched into an ancient storage vessel<sup>1</sup>. Though equivocal in meaning and in form<sup>2</sup>, this ostracon inscription unearthed during a 2008 excavation in Khirbet Qeiyafa adds to the growing evidence that knowledge of reading and writing were widespread among the Israelites during the Iron Ages. Excavations of dust covered correspondences, sherds containing Biblical names, and even a calendar used for agricultural harvesting all point to a literate United Monarchy<sup>3</sup>. Still, it would be erroneous to assert that the current literature based on these findings is unanimous<sup>4</sup>, particularly concerning the nature of the archeological inscriptions. Both the inscriptions' status as genuine literary works and the language of these works have long been debated. Scholars like Misgav, Ganor, and Garfinkel hold that the inscriptions point to a literate Judean administration who wrote in Proto-Canaanite script, rather than nearby ethnic groups versed in Northwest Semitic languages<sup>5</sup>.

Fortunately, archeological evidence such as the ostracon inscription does not stand alone. Paired with the evidence found in God's Word and an understanding of historical contexts, the literacy of early Israel can be studied more wholistically. Archeological, historical, and biblical research conducted within the past few decades combine harmoniously to build a cogent case for the language and writing capabilities of the early Israelites. Knowledge of the literacy in ancient Israel not only provides insight into the culture, lifestyle, and technology of the Israelites during the Iron Ages, but it also provides academics with more historically accurate foundations upon which to base their future research. To obtain a more accurate understanding of the literacy of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Yosef Garfinkel, Saar Ganor and Michael G. Hasel, "Khirbet Qeiyafa Vol. 4. Art, Cult, and Epigraphy. Edited by M.G. Klingbeil (Jerusalem: Israel Exploration Society, 2018), 280.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Garfinkel, Ganor, and Hasel, 290.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Garfinkel, Ganor, and Hasel, 284.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Garfinkel, Ganor, and Hasel, 277; Yosef Garfinkel, Saar Ganor, and Michael G. Hasel, "The Contribution of Khirbet Qeiyafa to Our Understanding of the Iron Age Period." 2010, 48.

early Israel, it is necessary to examine this archeological, historical, and biblical evidence from the Iron Ages.

## **Archeological Evidence**

Archeology is unique in that it provides tangible evidence of past activity. Despite our inability to directly observe such activities, the Khirbet Qeiyafa ostracon, 'Išba'al jar, Gezer calendar, and the Ophel, Shepehlah, and Lachish inscriptions can be used to speculate what life before the common era was like. Careful preservation of these archeological findings and comparisons between other, more complete scripts have enabled archeologists to draw at least provisional conclusions regarding the literacy of early Israel.

## **Khirbet Qeiyafa Ostracon**

The Khirbet Qeiyafa ostracon is one of the most relevant pieces of archeological evidence for literacy in early Israel. Discovered near the western city gate, this inscription contains five lines of uniform letters written in ink<sup>6</sup>. These lines of inscription are divided into rows and can be read horizontally (i.e., narrow tip downward), with the stems of the letters positioned beneath the round body of the letters. The ostracon stands out among other inscriptions because it is both the longest and oldest inscription of its kind<sup>7</sup>. In fact, radiocarbon dating places the ostracon in the 11<sup>th</sup> to 10<sup>th</sup> centuries BCE, the time period believed by some to mark the beginning of the United Monarchy<sup>8</sup>. Similarities between the date of the ostracon and the proposed existence of the United Monarchy during this same time period suggest that the ostracon inscription was written by an early Israelite.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Garfinkel, Ganor, and Hasel, 277.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Garfinkel, Ganor, and Hasel, 280.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Garfinkel, Ganor, and Hasel, 284; Haggai Misgav, Yosef Garfinkel, Saar, Ganor, "The Ostracon." In Khirbet Qeiyafa, Vol. 1: Excavation Report 2007-2008, ed. Yosef Garfinkel and Saar Ganor (Jerusalem: Israel Exploration Society, 2009), 248.

## Language of the Ostracon

In addition to the date of the ostracon, the ostracon's language is also evidence of a literate early Israel<sup>9</sup>. According to Hasel and Hasel<sup>10</sup>, the ostracon inscription is guided by rules associated with Proto-Canaanite writing, or Hebrew script, of the middle and late Bronze ages. Because alphabet-based writing was only adopted by the Phoenicians during the tenth century BCE, it follows that Proto-Canaanite script was the form used in Khirbet Qeiyafa, likely by the early Israelites<sup>11</sup>. Other scholars also support the identification of the script as Proto-Canaanite while simultaneously acknowledging its limitations.

For example, Misgav<sup>12</sup> states that the most that can be interpreted from the ostracon is the following: (1) the script has a continuous meaning and is therefore not likely a list of names, and (2) the script is a form of correspondence. Furthermore, Misgav<sup>13</sup> states that certain verbs used within the script are unique to Hebrew, and that Aramaic and Phoenician, languages proposed by others to be the script of the ostracon, do not use the same verbs present in the Khirbet Qeiyafa ostracon. Thus, the difference between verb usage serves as further evidence that the ostracon is inscribed in Hebrew, a language used by the early Israelites.

## **Gezer Calendar Inscription**

During the early 20<sup>th</sup> century, a small plaque made of limestone was discovered in the Canaanite city of Gezer<sup>14</sup>. Today, this inscribed limestone is referred to as the Gezer calendar

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Dale Manor, "Khirbet Qeiyafa 4 Excavation Report 2009-2013: Art, Cult and Epigraphy." *Bulletin for Biblical Research* 29 (2009): 231.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Yosef Garfinkel, Saar Ganor, Michael G. Hasel. *In the Footsteps of King David: Revelations from an Ancient Biblical City* (New York: Thames and Hudson, 2018), 119.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Garfinkel, Ganor, and Hasel, 127.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Garfinkel, Yosef, Saar Ganor and Michael G. Hasel. Khirbet Qeiyafa Vol. 4. Art, Cult, and Epigraphy. Edited by M.G. Klingbeil (Jerusalem: Israel Exploration Society, 2018), 282.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Garfinkel, Ganor, and Hasel, 281.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>William F. Albright, "The Gezer Calendar." *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research*, 92 (1943): 16.

and is dated to the period of King Solomon's reign over the Israelites<sup>15</sup>. Comparisons between the alphabets of the Sarcophagus of Ahiram of Byblus inscriptions, which date to the eleventh to early tenth century, strongly suggest that the script of the Gezer Calendar also dates to this same time period. Moreover, the calendar mentions a distinctly Israelite name most closely associated with the tenth century. These clues lead scholars to believe that the Gezer Calendar is written in Hebrew, a language often attributed to early Israelites in the second half of the tenth century BC.

## 'Išba'al Jar Inscription

While the Khirbet Qeiyafa ostracon received much attention during the 2008 excavation season, it was not the only piece of evidence unearthed that speaks to the literacy of early Israel. Archeologists also discovered an inscription on the shoulder of a pottery vessel, known as the 'Išba'al jar<sup>16</sup>. This inscription contains 70 large letters written from right to left and divided into five lines. Analysis of the jar suggests that these evenly spaced letters were written by an early Israelite for several reasons. First, the inscription is representative of an individual skilled in Proto-Canaanite script<sup>17</sup>, a language associated with the tenth century BCE and Israel<sup>18</sup>. Second, the direction of the inscription from right to left distinguishes the script as Canaanite<sup>19</sup>.

Third, the jar's excavation site has only one Iron Age occupation layer. This shows that Proto-Canaanite script was still in use south of Canaan (i.e., Israel) in the 10th century BCE. Fourth and most glaring, the name of the jar itself is based on the inscription's inclusion of the personal name 'Išba'al, son of Beda. The name Beda' is unique and the name 'Išba'al is mentioned in the Bible. 1 Chronicles chapters 3 and 14, and 2 Samuel chapters 4, 5, and 11, for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Albright, 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Yosef Garfinkel, Mitka R. Golub, Haggai Misgav, and Saar Ganor, "The 'Išba'al Inscription from Khirbet Qeiyafa." *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research*, 373 (2015): 217.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Garfinkel, Golub, Misgav, and Ganor, 223.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> William F. Albright, "The Gezer Calendar." Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research, 92 (1943): 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Garfinkel, Golub, Misgav, and Ganor, 223.

example, all mention some version of these names<sup>20</sup>. Interestingly, all mentions of 'Išba'al, son of Beda appear in the context of the Davidic period or earlier<sup>21</sup>. This evidence points to an inscription written by an Israelite of the 10<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> centuries BCE, further proving that reading and writing were known among early Israelites.

#### **Historical Evidence**

Archaeological inscriptions account for much of the information concerning ancient Israel's literacy. However, viewing these materials alongside contextual clues from history provides a more complete picture of this nation during the Iron Ages. Thus, it is also important to prioritize analysis of historical evidence such as cult materials, animal bones, and other artifacts to accurately place Israelites at the sites where these inscriptions were written and/or found. Doing so will add to the argument that the aforementioned archeological inscriptions could be works of early Israel.

### **Cult Materials**

Materials excavated at Khirbet Qeiyafa provide "well-stratified contexts" of cult activity associated with the tenth century Israelites<sup>22</sup>. Among the materials are mazzebot stones, cult rooms, temple models, basalt altars, libation vessels, and a clay figurine<sup>23</sup>. Interpretation of the function of these materials varies. Some scholars view the materials, specifically the vessels, as toys, while others claim that they are clearly related to cultic activity. According to Manor<sup>24</sup>, the latter interpretation can be justified due to the presence of other cultic-like artifacts found nearby.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>1 Chronicles 3, 14; 2 Samuel 4, 5, 11 NKJV

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>Garfinkel, Golub, Misgav, and Ganor, 230.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>Dale Manor, "Khirbet Qeiyafa 4 Excavation Report 2009-2013: Art, Cult and Epigraphy." *Bulletin for Biblical Research* 29 (2009): 229.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>Yosef Garfinkel, Saar Ganor, Michael G. Hasel. In the Footsteps of King David: Revelations from an Ancient Biblical City (New York: Thames and Hudson, 2018), 131-161

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>Dale Manor, "Khirbet Qeiyafa 4 Excavation Report 2009-2013: Art, Cult and Epigraphy." Bulletin for Biblical Research 29 (2009): 230.

The seven mazzebot stones are evidence that cult practice had already begun in the early kingdom of Israel. For example, 2 Kings describes an abolishment of such stones which suggests that cult activity was common during this time<sup>25</sup>. Excavators have also identified three cult rooms. One room contained a basalt altar, a large limestone basin, a pottery libation vessel, a seal, and a scarab. Similar to the mazzebot stones, these materials are referenced in the Bible as playing a role in cult activity<sup>26</sup>.

Aside from the mazzebot stones and cult rooms, excavations at Khirbet Qeiyafa unearthed four temple models. The unique architectural motifs in these models align with the Late Bronze Age and the Iron Age<sup>27</sup>. For example, the limestone temple model is decorated by a series of triglyphs and a triple-recessed doorway, two features which have been traced to various cultic and/or palatial structures throughout the eastern Mediterranean basin. One such structure is the Solomonic temple, which was constructed in the tenth century BCE.

Lastly, the head of a clay male figurine was found. While it appears that the figurine dates to the tenth century BCE, the artifact is unusual in form and unlike other figurines then known in the biblical period. Still, it is possible that some of the population practiced the cult of idols at the site; artifacts such as the figurine could reach a city through by way of trade or travel. Overall, the cult materials provide evidence that early Israelites inhabited the site of Qeiyafa where many 11<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> century BCE inscriptions have been found.

#### **Animal Bones**

Animal bones are also helpful in placing the early Israelites at the site of the inscriptions.

The presence or absence of pig bones in particular provides details regarding the dietary intake

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>2 Kgs 23:8 NKJV

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>Yosef Garfinkel, Saar Ganor, Michael G. Hasel. In the Footsteps of King David: Revelations from an Ancient Biblical City (New York: Thames and Hudson, 2018), 109.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Garfinkel, Ganor, and Hasel, 146-155.

of the site's inhabitants, as well as the time period in which these inhabitants existed.

Archeologists have identified pig bones at two Philistine sites, but such bones were absent at Khirbet Qeiyafa<sup>28</sup>. The absence of pig bones at the site complements God's prohibition of pork consumption written in Leviticus<sup>29</sup>. Because this prohibition was intended for the Israelites and archeologists found no pig bones, Qeiyafa can be identified as a Judahite site.

#### **Biblical Evidence**

It is difficult to interpret much of the archaeological and historical evidence without reference to the Bible, as evident in the prior sections. Clearly, the Bible is complementary to this evidence, and therefore, the case for literacy in early Israel can benefit from a compilation of scripture that provides context and descriptions of the individuals, practices, events, and locations associated with the evidence. Many of the verses relevant to the literacy of early Israel are found in 1 Chronicles, 1 and 2 Samuel, 2 Kings, among books.

### 1 Chronicles and 1 Samuel

Garfinkel and others<sup>30</sup> state, "Khirbet Qeiyafa is tremendously important in terms of various aspects relating to the archeology and history of the Iron Age and the Biblical tradition". So, it is not surprising that the Bible mentions the site both in 1 Chronicles 4:31-2 and in 1 Samuel 17:52<sup>31</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>Yosef Garfinkel, Saar Ganor, Michael G. Hasel. In the Footsteps of King David: Revelations from an Ancient Biblical City (New York: Thames and Hudson, 2018), 113.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>Lev 11:1-8 NKJV

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>Garfinkel, Yosef, Saar Ganor, and Michael G. Hasel, "The Contribution of Khirbet Qeiyafa to Our Understanding of the Iron Age Period", 2010, 39.

<sup>311</sup> Chron 4:31-2; 1 Sam 17:52 NKJV

## **Bibliography**

- Albright, W. F. "The Gezer Calendar." *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research*, no. 92 (1943): 16-26. Accessed February 18, 2021. doi:10.2307/1355268.
- Benjamin Sass, Yosef Garfinkel, Michael G. Hasel, and Martin G. Klingbeil. "The Lachish Jar Sherd: An Early Alphabetic Inscription Discovered in 2014." *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research*, no. 374 (2015): 233-45. Accessed February 18, 2021. doi:10.5615/bullamerschoorie.374.0233.
- Crenshaw, James L. "Education in Ancient Israel." *Journal of Biblical Literature* 104, no. 4 (1985): 601-15. Accessed February 18, 2021. doi:10.2307/3260674.
- Finkelstein, Israel. 2019. "First Israel, Core Israel, United (Northern) Israel." *Near Eastern Archaeology* 82 (1): 8–15. http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy.southern.edu/login.aspx?direct=true&db=lsdar&AN =ATLAi5IE190330002078&site=ehost-live&scope=site.
- Finkelstein, Israel, Benjamin Sass, and Lily Singer-Avitz. "Writing in Iron IIA Philistia in the Light of the Tel Zayit/Zetā Abecedary." *Zeitschrift Des Deutschen Palästina-Vereins* (1953-) 124, no. 1 (2008): 1-14. Accessed February 18, 2021. http://www.jstor.org/stable/27931826.
- Garfinkel, Yosef, Saar Ganor, and Michael G Hasel. 2010. "The Contribution of Khirbet Qeiyafa to Our Understanding of the Iron Age Period." *Strata* 28: 39–54. http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy.southern.edu/login.aspx?direct=true&db=lsdar&AN =ATLA0001816315&site=ehost-live&scope=site.
- Garfinkel, Yosef, Saar Ganor and Michael G. Hasel. *Khirbet Qeiyafa Vol. 4. Art, Cult, and Epigraphy*. Edited by M.G. Klingbeil. Jerusalem: Israel Exploration Society, 2018. 680 pp.
- Garfinkel, Yosef, Saar Ganor and Michael G. Hasel. *Khirbet Qeiyafa Vol. 4. Art, Cult, and Epigraphy*. Edited by M.G. Klingbeil. Jerusalem: Israel Exploration Society, 2018. 680 pp.
- Hasel, Michael G. "New Excavations at Khirbet Qeiyafa and the Early History of Judah." In *Do Historical Matters Matter to Faith?: A Critical Analysis to Modern and Postmodern Approaches to Scripture*, pp. 477-96. Eds. James K. Hoffmeier and Dennis R. Magary. Wheaton, IL: Crossway, 2012.
- Hess, Richard S. "Writing about Writing: Abecedaries and Evidence for Literacy in Ancient Israel." *Vetus Testamentum* 56, no. 3 (2006): 342-46. Accessed February 18, 2021. http://www.jstor.org/stable/20504043.
- Leval, Gerard. "Ancient Inscription Refers to Birth of Israelite Monarchy." *Biblical Archaeology Review* 38/3 (May-June 2012) 41-43, 70.

- Manor, Dale Wallace. 2019. "Khirbet Qeiyafa 4 Excavation Report 2009-2013: Art, Cult and Epigraphy." *Bulletin for Biblical Research* 29 (2): 229–31. http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy.southern.edu/login.aspx?direct=true&db=lsdar&AN =ATLAiG0V201116001358&site=ehost-live&scope=site.
- Mazar, Eilat, David Ben-Shlomo, and Shmuel Aḥituv. "An Inscribed Pithos from the Ophel, Jerusalem." *Israel Exploration Journal 63*, no. 1 (2013): 39-49. Accessed February 18, 2021. http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.southern.edu/stable/43855636.
- McCarter, P Kyle, Jr. 1986. "The Historical David." *Interpretation* 40 (2): 117–29. http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy.southern.edu/login.aspx?direct=true&db=lsdar&AN =ATLA0000960605&site=ehost-live&scope=site.
- Miller, Robert D. "Identifying Earliest Israel." *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research*, no. 333 (2004): 55-68. Accessed February 18, 2021. doi:10.2307/1357794.
- Misgav, Haggai, Garfinkel, Yosef, and Ganor, Saar. "The Ostracon." In *Khirbet Qeiyafa, Vol. 1:* Excavation Report 2007-2008, ed. Yosef Garfinkel and Saar Ganor, pp. 243-57. Jerusalem: Israel Exploration Society, 2009.
- Naveh, Joseph. "A Fragment of an Ancient Hebrew Inscription from the Ophel." *Israel Exploration Journal* 32, no. 4 (1982): 195-98. Accessed February 18, 2021. http://www.jstor.org/stable/27925853.
- Provan, Iain W. "Ideologies, Literary and Critical: Reflections on Recent Writing on the History of Israel." *Journal of Biblical Literature* 114, no. 4 (1995): 585-606. Accessed February 18, 2021. doi:10.2307/3266476.
- Yosef Garfinkel, Mitka R. Golub, Haggai Misgav, and Saar Ganor. "The 'Išba'al Inscription from Khirbet Qeiyafa." *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research*, no. 373 (2015): 217-33. Accessed February 18, 2021. doi:10.5615/bullamerschoorie.373.0217.
- Yosef Garfinkel, Saar Ganor, Michael G. Hasel. In the Footsteps of King David: Revelations from an Ancient Biblical City, New York: Thames and Hudson, 2018.
- Young, Ian M. "Israelite Literacy: Interpreting the Evidence: Part I." *Vetus Testamentum* 48, no. 2 (1998): 239-53. Accessed February 18, 2021. http://www.jstor.org/stable/1585504.